

## **A Multifaith Chaplaincy in a Secular University**

Geoff Boyce, Flinders University. June 2007

Presented to the Conference of European University Chaplains, Woudschoten, Zeist, The Netherlands, and based on the paper *Leadership and Spirituality*, which was presented to the SA Governor's Leadership Foundation in May 2007.

**This paper considers the growing acceptance and understanding of spirituality within the context of the work of chaplains at Flinders University who work cooperatively within the rubric *nurturing spirit, building community* while also working individually representing diverse religious faiths. A Charter for Multifaith Ministry has been adopted as a point of departure for the development of principles of multifaith ministry emerging from the practice of the chaplains. A recent development has been the emergence of the idea of the faith-friendly workplace – that faith contributes to the life of a secular organization and therefore should be nurtured within the organization.**

### **The context at Flinders University, South Australia**

**“I am not religious...”**

I was appointed by the Uniting Church to be chaplain to Flinders University in 1997.

My initial dilemma was that I was a symbol of what most within the university had rejected.

“I am not religious...” was not just the opening line of many individual conversations with staff and students, but seemed to be the stance of the University *per se* in its understanding of being “secular”.

At my initial meeting with the then Deputy Vice-Chancellor, now Vice-Chancellor, I came straight to the point. In my opinion chaplaincy as it had traditionally been practiced at Flinders now seemed completely marginal and irrelevant to the university. I was talking about a *religious* chaplaincy, where it was assumed that chaplains from each of the Christian denominations would essentially cover the religious and spiritual needs of the whole campus by each meeting the needs of their own denominations. Clearly this model was now defunct! Few, if any, staff and students of any of the Christian denominations attended meetings or services provided by the chaplains. If we were to have a chaplaincy that was going to contribute to the life of the university, it needed re-invention!

**...but I am spiritual!”**

This conversation happened to take place soon after the “Bringing Them Home Report” of the aboriginal “Stolen Generations” was presented to Parliament. Flinders has an indigenous centre on the campus. Intuitively I ventured to the Deputy-Vice-Chancellor, “how can we understand aboriginal people if we don’t accept that there is such a thing as spirituality?”

Ever since, I have understood that the cornerstones of our professional understanding have been the mutual acceptance of the fact of spirituality and the assurance of my commitment to contribute to the life of the university through a re-imagined chaplaincy, “no longer pretending to be a privileged insider, (but) no longer willing to be a trivialised outsider” (Brueggemann 1993).

### **The Chaplain evokes the spirituality of the enterprise**

How to re-invent a useful chaplaincy with staff and students in a secular institution, who, by and large, have no time for organised religion, when I am the very symbol of it? Is there a way of being faithful to the command to love God and neighbour, which is the Christians' commission, while not being "religious"?

My Spiritual Director pointed me in the direction of Harrison Owen, a management consultant in the US. A former Episcopal priest, Owen has used classic theological categories to provide a perspective on dynamics for transformation within secular enterprises.

### **(1) Organisations have spirit.**

Owen's premise is that organizations in their essence are spirit. (Owen 1987)

I had been a teacher for thirty years and I knew about school spirit. What is school spirit? Well we're not sure, but we know when we've got it and we sure know when we haven't! And I've seen school spirit change almost overnight when there's been a change of school principal.

If the University had spirit I would begin to look for opportunities to lift peoples' spirits. This was what a chaplain could do – nurture spirit! I would take notice of morale, to be there to listen and support spirit.

### **(2) Organisations have a myth.**

Owen suggests that myths are the primal stories about "how we do things round here"...stories about how we survived the last depression, or the way things were when "so-and-so" was boss... (Owen 1987)

I asked myself, what is the Flinders myth?

Didn't Flinders start as the kid brother of Adelaide University? Didn't Flinders lead the Vietnam War Moratorium and the Anti-Apartheid protests against the visiting South African rugby team in the 70's? The myth of Flinders exults social justice. Flinders gives the disadvantaged a 'fair go'.

"Myth is neither true nor false, but rather *behind* truth...myth is not just "any old story", it is *the* story, which gives shape and focus to Spirit, and makes everything make sense...it is the eyeglasses through which a given people perceive and interpret their world. It is the vantage point from which, or by which the *true* is judged to be true..." (Owen 1987)

But myth is also dynamic.

"Myth communicates the moving quality of the human Spirit as it seeks to become whatever it was supposed to be...it doesn't just communicate *about* Spirit...but in some way manifests that Spirit in experiential terms; you can feel it." (Owen 1987)

The myths of organizations, whether they are embodied in its founder, or constructed through circumstance, are the ground from which its values and beliefs are articulated and actions taken.

But Universities are in a changed situation. The buzz of student life of the 60's and 70's seems to have gone. Increasingly, students can do their work on-line. If they do come to lectures they soon leave for their part-time jobs. Staff rarely venture out of their offices for a cause. University has become a business and everyone seems to be 24/7 busy with little time or energy for communal action (Cain and Hewitt 2004).

Nevertheless, if Owen is right, a Christian chaplain, attempting to be true to love of God and neighbour, should not only engage in acts of thoughtfulness and kindness to lift peoples' spirits, but

look for opportunities to reinforce the positive values that arise by evoking the myth – perhaps, in the case of Flinders, creating space for marginalised voices to be recognised and heard, identifying, seeking out and supporting the disadvantaged...

I like the idea of Adelaide educationist, poet and activist Erica Jolly, who has suggested that in Australia we might replace the word ‘myth’ with the word ‘dreaming’, with all its aboriginal depth. Australians are close enough to the “Aryan myth” of Hitler and fascism, with all its powerful will for dominance, for "myth" to be considered anything but dangerous and based on a lie.

We do well to acknowledge that all systems - religious, political or scientific, may be turned against humanity, that ‘spirit’ may be nurtured to more effectively achieve cynical ends, that myth may be constructed to galvanise armies of destruction.

Nevertheless, I think these distortions do not invalidate the embracing of these tools to encourage transformation within corporate communities towards ends in keeping with Christian values.

### **First steps in a re-imagined chaplaincy**

The time of East Timor’s vote for independence in 1999 was a watershed for our chaplaincy at Flinders. We were getting news of militias, supported by the Indonesian army, killing innocent civilians. You might recall the outrage in Australia - and eventually Australian troops were sent in.

How were Indonesian students on campus feeling? What was their spirit?

I began to email the President of the Muslim Students Association, who was Indonesian. “What is happening in East Timor is terrible,” he said, “Islam condemns this violence!”

I visited the Asian Studies staff who were deeply troubled by these events, not least for the possible disastrous impact on Australia’s relationship with Indonesia - a relationship in which some had invested their life’s work.

I heard rumours of victimisation of Indonesian students on campus. A campaign to picket Garuda Airlines was underway: understandable but not helpful reactions by Australian students seeking a channel for their outrage.

What should the chaplain do? What does leadership require of me?

In a rapid exchange of emails I proposed to the President of the Muslim Students Association to join me in a public meeting to give opportunity for the Indonesians to have a voice; to create an opportunity for Australians and Indonesians to express their grief at what was happening in solidarity with each other against the murder that was occurring.

But I also thought it would be a good opportunity for Christian and Muslim to make a public statement about religion and violence, and all the better if we did it together. (This was well before “9/11” when Islam wasn’t on the public radar.)

Incidentally, leaders of the conservative Christian group on campus opposed my decision. But the Student’s Association, previously lukewarm to me, jumped into action to make posters and advertise the meeting.

On the day of the meeting the Asian Studies staff came with many of the Indonesian students. It was wonderful the staff came, speaking fluent Indonesian, consoling them. The Professor of Asian Studies opened the meeting. Tears tumbled down his face as he explained what was happening, helping Australian students see that blame should not be dumped on fellow Indonesian students. The Lutheran

chaplain read the Beatitudes and an Indonesian read from the Koran. We had a time of silence, to pray for peace in our own way. To conclude, the President of the Muslim Students Association and I each lit a candle, made a statement condemning violence, and carried our candles out through the meeting. Everyone was given a candle as they left, to keep lit during this difficult time.

At the end of this hastily convened meeting, the Academic Rights Officer of the Students Association, whom I had previously thought was highly sceptical and not at all supportive of chaplaincy, bowled me over by saying “you’ve got to keep doing this!” So we did - each day at noon, for a week, the different religious communities took turns to lead a short public meeting to light a candle and pray for peace. This was our first multifaith activity - and a person I had judged as a religious sceptic inspired it!

As I now reflect on this event I can see Harrison’s Owen’s schema in action. Staff and students previously unconnected to chaplaincy, lukewarm or rejecting of religion, supported this initiative. I suggest they did so because the Flinders myth was evoked; it felt “right”, concurring with the mythic ‘fair go’, both for the innocent civilians of East Timor, and closer to home, for the Indonesian students.

Although life on the campus has changed, and continues to change, the Flinders myth remains a powerful script, influential, and never far below the surface.

### **(3) Organisations have rituals.**

“Rituals put the words of myth into form, motion, music...ritual is acted myth.” (Owen 1987)

Rituals may be as formal as a funeral or as everyday as a “hello”.

A few years ago a member of staff suicided and a small group of colleagues, one of whom discovered the body, were immediately and profoundly affected.

When I visited the Head of School to offer support, her immediate thought was that she couldn’t think of any staff who were “religious”, who might be recipients of such support, and neither was she. Four years into my chaplaincy and I was still a symbol of the “religious”!

But I was impressed with her honesty and candour.

I offered to attend morning tea each week should anyone want to talk about it. She was happy enough to agree to my offer, but I could see she thought it was inconsequential.

No one did talk with me about the suicide at morning tea, apart from one staff member who had decided to resign lest he capitulate in like manner. But every Wednesday morning, I attended morning tea. A ritual.

Gradually, the Head of School began to look forward to me popping in to say hello after morning tea.

Although it was never spoken, I could tell that, as Head of School, she felt some responsibility for conditions that might have contributed to this person’s death. She decided the culture of the School needed to be changed to create a better work – recreation balance.

So I continued my weekly ritual of morning tea in the staff room as an assurance to staff; and my occasional ‘poppings-in’ to the Head became a professional friendship. I encouraged her with her intuitions, as she confided in me. As she acted on them, we began to celebrate her achievements toward her goal and I was able to affirm her courage in leadership.

One of her strategies was to refurbish the tearoom to use it to celebrate milestones, welcome guests and so on, with wonderful, generous morning teas – rituals that reinforced the culture she was working toward. I saw this as creating a kind of “Sabbath” – permission for her staff to take time out away from the daily demands.

It took a couple of years until she felt she had achieved her objective. Independently we both recognised this when the rejuvenated social committee had organised an end of year barbecue for staff and their families. We signed off with each other, as it were, to the sound of a jazz band!

These anecdotes illustrate roles the chaplain may play as leader within a secular organization, evoking the spirituality of the enterprise by identifying and reinforcing its myth and facilitating its rituals. Sometimes the role is overt, as in the case of creating an opportunity for affirmation of the Indonesian students; but more often it is covert, as the chaplain supports the appropriate authorities committed to the quest for transformation within the organization - the search for a better way to be. The chaplain’s understanding of, and experience in, the dynamics of spirit, myth and ritual provide a basis for praxis within the context of listening and pastoral care.

### **The chaplain engages the spirituality of the individual.**

Recently I had the good fortune to be invited to a select breakfast seminar with Prof. George Vaillant, Professor of Psychiatry at Harvard and visiting Nimmo scholar at Adelaide University. Prof Vaillant is in his mid-seventies. He is looking back on a lifetime of medical research to direct his attention to what he thinks really matters in his field.

His first slide looked very familiar.

Lord, make me an instrument of your *peace* . . .

Where there is hatred, let me sow *love*.

Where there is injury, let me sow *forgiveness*;

Where there is doubt, let me sow *faith*;

Where there is despair, let me give *hope*....

Where there is sadness, let me give *joy*;

O Master, grant that I may not so much to seek  
compassion but to give compassion . . .

*"The Peace Prayer of St. Francis".*

*Attributed to: Father Esther Becquerel (1912)*

The last of the highlighted three, faith, hope and joy, provide the title of Vaillant’s soon to be published book on spirituality (Vaillant 2007).

These qualities – peace, love, forgiveness, faith, hope and joy – Vaillant calls ‘positive emotions’. In so doing he establishes terminology that allows spirituality to be embraced within the behavioural sciences.

Advances in neuroscience show that these ‘positive emotions’ are located in the limbic area of the brain. This is a primitive part of the brain, common to all mammals. One implication of this fact is that spirituality is genetically hard-wired into us. All human persons are born spiritual.

On the other hand, says Vaillant, religion is located in the cognitive part of the brain, the cerebral cortex. Religion is essentially rational. Religions, like all systems of knowledge, are humanly constructed. My rule of thumb definition is that religions are organised expressions of spiritualities.

Our confusion over the relationship between spirituality and religion occurs because religion has always embraced spirituality. But the western world, in particular, disenchanted with much of organised religion, is separating the two and discovering spirituality per se. And if the neuroscientists are right, this separation of spirituality from religion has some physical, scientific validity.

Nevertheless, I suspect they are closely related.

### **The Secular**

One of the many factors promoting this shift to spirituality has been the insistence in the West on the so-called “separation of powers” – the separation of ‘Church and State’; the establishment of so-called *secular* institutions. Far from using the word ‘secular’ to mean ‘godless’, the intention of the secular was to preserve freedom of religion among its constituents. In SA this was particularly the case because its free settlers were escaping religious oppression in their native homelands (Pike 1967). Our forefathers did not want the English model where the Church of England was hand-in-glove with the government and the monarchy. That model resulted in the oppression of all who would not submit to the Church of England. *Dissenters*, as they were known, founded South Australia, enshrining the right to freedom of religion as a prime value.

The secular asserts that no one religion may have dominance over another. I would like to think that this understanding of a sense of equality, of respecting the rights of others to their beliefs, and ‘fair go’ for all, is still with us in Australia. And I wonder whether our reticence to talk about religion in the public sphere is because of our presumption about the separation of “church and state”, that religious talk is to be separated from public discourse.

On the other hand we seem to be more comfortable talking about peace, love, forgiveness, faith, hope and happiness, Vaillant’s ‘positive emotions’. And if spirituality is separated from religion, we have established a potentially peaceful way for people to keep their faiths at a respected distance from each other while still sharing common spiritual values.

### **Multifaith Chaplaincy**

When the first non-Christian chaplains were appointed to Flinders University in the late 90’s, we had to choose whether we would work independently and competitively, or work cooperatively, while maintaining difference. We had no hesitation in choosing the latter and became one of the first multifaith university chaplaincies, possibly in the world, with this collegial model. Intuitively we chose *nurturing spirit, building community* as the rubric within which we would cooperate. That is, while maintaining difference of religion, we embraced the commonality of spirituality. This allowed us to engage with people of other faiths or no faith, on the grounds of spirituality, at the ‘human level’. The insights of religion might help us with our praxis, as I have already illustrated. But human spirituality has been the ground for our living together as a chaplaincy, in harmony with each other.

In working with people of other faiths, I let them know that I don’t know much about their faith and would like them to tell me if I create any problem for them in my ignorance. I am interested in how we might better live together across our differences, not try to make ‘them’ into ‘me’ or for ‘me’ to

become ‘them’. I am enriched by difference. In fact I *need* ‘them’ in their difference for me to be fully human (Volf 1996).

Organising the meeting during the East Timor crisis was very hurried, achieved mainly with a flurry of email. During the meeting we were also going to pray. As I walked into the meeting room with my Muslim friend by my side, I suddenly realised that I had no idea how Muslims pray! Walking down the aisle through the meeting, I lent over to Suparto and whispered in his ear “How do you pray?” He lent over and whispered back, “Just follow your heart, Geoff, follow your heart”. And we did. Tears were shed together, and new bonds that came “from the heart” were established between those present.

The common element was spirituality - the desire to work for peace. Peace, love, forgiveness, faith, hope, joy and compassion – all were experienced across religious divide.

So it is not necessary for me to know all about Islam, for example, to begin to work together with Muslims, if one has a sense of a shared spirituality. ‘Knowing about’ is cognitive – in the cerebral cortex. But when we ‘follow our heart’ we are working with ‘positive emotions’ in the limbic. There is a world of difference between the study of religion and the living of religion. But of course, as we do things together, as we live with each other in a spirit of goodwill, we also learn. We are whole persons, rational as well as spiritual.

### **What is spirituality?**

I don’t claim to know what spirituality actually is.

Giving the Flinders Investigator Lecture in 2000, the cartoonist Michael Leunig described how one frosty morning, traveling from his home in the country to his work in Melbourne, he was captured by a particular scene, as he drove his car over the brow of a hill. It was the light, the mist hanging over the road... and, if I remember rightly, a cow! Leunig told how his heart leapt, he felt uplifted, there was a sense of the numinous, the transcendent. That scene just bowled him over!

My Buddhist colleague tells how in his youth he attended a Methodist Youth Camp in the Flinders Ranges. One night the leader asked everyone to go outside and just look at the stars for twenty minutes. That night, under the stars, he realized he was a contemplative. This transforming experience set him on a journey that now finds him as a Buddhist monk. The simple act of spending time beneath the night sky, looking at the stars!

Many people tell how the cosmos, or the land, or animals, or poetry, music or art, speak to them, lifting their spirits, providing a deep sense of connection and affirmation about their selves. A sense of our selves in the cosmic universe, a sense of respect and awe for something outside of our own worlds, moments of inexplicable wonder, the joy of a new birth, the stirring of a piece of music, the singing of a national anthem when medals are presented ... these are some of the colours of spirituality. They may be ‘positive emotions’ but they derive from moments of experience beyond us.

### **The chaplain respects religious diversity**

We talk a lot about religious *diversity*. But an examination of texts of various religions reveals a common core - what has become known as “The Golden Rule”. Note that some of these texts assert that this “Golden Rule” is at the centre of that religion. So if the centres of these religions are held in common, perhaps we might be more optimistic about finding common ground for living together in religious harmony.

#### Christianity

In everything do to others as you would have them do to you, for this is the law and the prophets. *Matthew. 7:12*

#### Islam

No one of you is a believer until he desires for his brother that which he desires for himself. *Hadith*

#### Judaism

A certain heathen came to Shammai and said to him, make me a proselyte, on condition that you teach me the whole Torah while I stand, on one foot. Thereupon he repulsed him with the rod which was in his hand. When he went to Hillel, he said to him. What is hateful to you, do not do to your neighbour that is the whole Torah; all the rest of it is commentary; go and learn. *Talmud, Shabbat 31 a*

#### Hinduism

This is me sum of duty: do naught to others which if done to thee would cause thee pain. *The Mahabharata*

#### Confucianism

Tsekung asked. Is there one word that can serve as a principle of conduct for life? Confucius replied. It is the word shu - reciprocity: Do not do to others what you do not want them to do to you. *Analects 15.23*

#### Zoroastrianism

Whatever is disagreeable to yourself do not do unto others. *Shayast-na-Shayast 13:29*

#### Pagan

Eight words the Wiccan Rede fulfill,  
An' it harm none,  
do what ye will.

Blessed be to thee. *Wiccan Rede*

#### Buddhism

Hurt not others with that which pains yourself.

*Udana – Varga*

#### Jainism

A man should wander about treating all creatures as he himself would be treated. *Sutrakritanga 1.11.33*

#### Baha'i

And if thine eyes be turned towards justice, choose thou for thy neighbour that which thou chooseth for thyself. *Epistle to the Son of the Wolf, 30*

#### Isocrates (436-338 BCE)

Do not do unto others what angers you if done to you by others.

#### African Traditional Religions.

Yoruba Proverb (Nigeria)

One who you think should be hit is none else but you. One who you think should be governed is none else but you. One who you think should be tortured is none else but you. One who you think should be enslaved is none else but you. One who you think should be killed is none else but you.

### **A Multi-Faith Ministry Charter**

The ‘positive emotions’ of spirituality nurtured by these religious traditions have provided a sufficient basis for the relationship between the chaplains at Flinders, such that they describe themselves as a “community of colleagues”, while still giving each other space for ministry within their own religious traditions.

To assist in the articulation of their collegial relationship, Prof Norman Habel has articulated a multifaith ministry charter:

**A multi-faith ministry is informed by the following principles:**

## **1. Principle of Mutual Recognition**

A multi-faith ministry recognises the right of all faiths to meet the needs of their respective members in any given community.

## **2. Principle of Mutual Concern**

A multi-faith ministry intends to meet the pastoral concerns of, rather than convert, members of the various faiths.

## **3. Principle of Mutual Understanding**

A multi-faith ministry seeks to understand the values and beliefs of each faith in a given community rather than to pass judgement on them.

## **4. Principle of Mutual Service**

A multi-faith ministry is committed to serving the spiritual and personal needs of each member of each faith tradition in the community.

The chaplains have since gone further:

- they have responded pastorally to situations when minority religions have come under unjust attack, by writing letters of support and meeting with aggrieved communities to offer moral support.
- because of their relationships, the chaplains have found greater resolve in exposing prejudice directed towards other religions among members of their own religious communities.
- they are also more able to direct religious enquiries directly to appropriate sources within that religion, rather than pass on ‘second hand’ information.

### ***Faith Friendly Australia - A Charter for Developing Faith-friendly Communities***

The Flinders chaplains are now finding that they are being seen as a resource in matters pertaining to interfaith relationships both within the university and the wider community. There are few, if any, examples of other multifaith communities in South Australia to whom enquirers may turn.

As a result they have found that enlightened corporate leaders are beginning to recognise the need to be proactive in providing ‘faith-friendly’ workplaces, affirming the spiritualities of their employees while remaining secular enterprises. Such CEO’s don’t just accept the value of religious faith in the workplace as a source of spiritual values, but actively promote conditions for its sustenance and opportunities for inter-faith dialogue within the ambit of healthy spiritualities (Benefiel 2005).

Professor Norman Habel and I, supported by the Flinders chaplains, are putting forward a vision of a “Faith Friendly Australia”. It builds on the work of David Miller at Yale in the US, who has coined the phrase “faith friendly”, to describe workplace initiatives by CEO’s in such corporates as the Ford Motor Company, who have recognised that the religions and spiritualities of their workforce contribute positively to the enterprise (Miller 2006).

Just as a charter for multifaith ministry has provided a focus for the articulation of multifaith chaplaincy at Flinders, we are proposing that Australian corporate leaders may want to sign on to a charter as a means of developing respectful and spiritually-nurturing workplaces.

### **The Vision**

**That Australians work towards creating faith friendly communities in which peoples of all faiths (or none) are open to each other, support each other and respect each other.**

## **The Context**

**This charter recognises the character of the current Australian context:**

**1. Many faiths**

Australia is designated a multicultural nation. Within Australian society there are many diverse faiths, variously designated religions, denominations, spiritualities, values and traditions.

**2. Faith as Private**

It has been typical of Australian culture that Australians are expected to keep their faith private and not to 'wear their faith on their sleeve.'

**3. Faiths in Tension**

Nevertheless, tensions and conflicts have arisen between faiths both within the faith communities themselves, within the wider community and within the work place.

**4. Faith Awareness**

More recently, there has been a growing awareness of the need to understand and publicly support the faith needs of all groups in the work place and the community at large.

## **The Principles**

**The charter is grounded in the following principles:**

**5. Principle of Mutual Recognition**

A faith friendly community recognises the right of all faiths to meet the needs of their respective members in any given community.

**6. Principle of Mutual Concern**

A faith friendly community intends to meet the religious and spiritual needs of its members of various faiths.

**7. Principle of Mutual Understanding**

A faith friendly community seeks to understand the values and beliefs of each faith in a given community rather than to pass judgement on them.

**8. Principle of Mutual Respect**

A faith friendly community seeks to respect the differences between the values and beliefs of its members.

## **The Agenda**

**To achieve the vision of this charter it is recommended that:**

**1. Exploration**

A range of representative bodies from the work place, education, institutions, politics and society at large meet to explore this charter and its implications for Australia today.

## **2. Endorsement**

Businesses, companies, educational bodies and other institutions endorse this charter and explore appropriate ways of developing policies within their respective contexts that would enable faith-friendly communities to emerge.

## **3. Implementation**

These same bodies introduce specific vehicles to enable each faith community to celebrate its respective rites and practices within the relevant work, educational or social contexts.

## **4. Support Services**

The necessary support services needed to implement these policies and practices be the responsibility of the company, educational institution or other relevant community rather than the individual faith communities as such.

It is important to note that “faith”, as it is understood in this paper, also includes “no-faith”. So, for example, in multifaith or inter-faith activities convened by the Flinders chaplains, secular humanists are equally invited to participate as a “faith” group. This has been appreciated, resulting in new relationships and more open communication.

## **Chaplaincy as the emerging paradigm for interfaith and multifaith ministry**

Unconditional regard for the other has traditionally been at the heart of chaplaincy (Boyce 2005). It should therefore not be surprising that, of all forms of ministry, chaplaincy should take a lead in engaging positively with people of other faiths.

Chaplains have developed the skill of putting on hold their own agendas to support others sort out their lives primarily through the process of empathetic listening. In like manner, it is a relatively small step for a chaplain to suspend her own religious formation to engage empathetically with a person of another faith. This in no way diminishes the chaplain’s commitment to their own faith, any more than a psychiatrist listening to a patient’s story, takes on that story herself.

Rev. Dr Keith Rowe, in an unpublished paper delivered in Sydney in June, 2007, calls this empathetic listening skill “crossing over”:

The process of “crossing over” has become an important understanding of inter-faith dialogue when taken seriously and with a desire to deepen our understanding of life and of God. To “cross over” means to leave the safety of what one has received and takes for granted and to enter, as far as one can, into the perspectives, experience and wisdom of another person or group. We try to “feel” the other’s way, as far as we can, from within rather than observing it in the manner of a dispassionate scholar. The process of “crossing over” is not completed till we have returned to our own home again, carrying with us new questions, new perspectives, and fresh interpretations of truth we had thought we knew already. Crossing over is a gentle, imaginative and deeply spiritual activity. Whether we are passing over from one culture to another or from one religion to another, it is a way of knowing that is a doorway into a world shaped by peace, understanding and shared commitment to the well being of the human family. The goal of “crossing over” is not to judge but to understand, not to argue but to receive, not to conquer but to appreciate. It does not require that we agree with everything we learn from our neighbour but it does require that we listen, try to understand, try to “feel” their way of living and believing and are ready to be questioned by what we find.

Through this traditional chaplaincy technique, the chaplains at Flinders have discovered that their common human spiritualities are sufficient basis for collegial relationships and common ministry, without discounting the integrity of their own faiths. Learning *about* other faiths has been a bonus but has not been their prime concern.

## Conclusion

Universities, committed to be thought leaders within society, provide a fertile environment for the exploration and development of innovative inter-religious initiatives, responding positively to society's emerging pluralist situation and serving their communities with their knowledge and expertise. Multifaith chaplaincies at universities are well placed to participate in this exploration and engagement and may be drawn upon to offer education and consultancy services to the wider community.

The multifaith chaplaincy, as it has developed at Flinders University, has found a way of evoking the spirituality of the secular institution by recovering traditional theological categories in the new situation, recognising spirit, drawing on myth and facilitating ritual. In so doing, it recognises that all persons are spiritual. Vaillant's "positive emotions" provide a common language across religious divide.

The principles that have emerged in these experiments have been articulated in a charter of multifaith ministry and a charter for "faith friendly" communities. These charters provide principles that are focal points that may be openly considered, discussed and if necessary, modified. Such principles then become secure points of departure for supporting the growth of healthy spirituality in ministry and within communities as we continue to look for ways to live together harmoniously.

Ultimately, to be "faith friendly" means being 'everyone friendly'!

## Postscript

### **Leadership : spirit, myth and ritual in action.**

When Steve Waugh's team won the World Cup in England in 1999 and night had fallen on the celebrations and disappointments of opposing teams, young Ricky Ponting led the Australian team back on to the pitch at Lords and led them in the singing of *Under a Southern Cross*.

I read about it next day in the paper. It was a moment all about leadership and spirit.

#### **An Anthem That Lasted All Night**

*The Sunday Mail, October 10<sup>th</sup> 1999*

The Australian cricket team staged a secret and very private invasion of Lord's after winning the World Cup.

Four hours after their trouncing of Pakistan, the Australians stormed onto cricket's most famous acreage to crown their triumph in a uniquely Australian way.

"The celebrations went on for many hours in the Lord's dressing room," said Steve Waugh in *No Regrets*. "A singalong with the boys culminated in an experience that was more than just a highlight of our careers – it was one of the greatest experiences of our lives. Ricky Ponting took us all onto the ground and we put the World Cup down on the centre wicket. Punter got on top of Tom Moody's shoulders, pulled out a poem he had written a couple of weeks ago, read it slowly, with much feeling, then led us in one of the most stirring renditions of *Under the Southern Cross* I have ever witnessed. We sang it once, then again, then we stopped and we sang it again. At 8.30pm, with no-one else about, our victory anthem echoed around this temple of sport. A magic moment. I'll never forget the togetherness of the team and the

genuine delight we all felt because we had done it for each other. I can clearly remember thinking...it doesn't get any better than this.”

One thing that impressed me about this was, not just the charisma of Ricky Ponting, but the leadership of Steve Waugh.

Waugh's own sense of self was not at all phased by Ponting's initiative; he was not threatened by letting Ponting take the lead in the celebration ritual. He felt the 'rightness' of it all within a context of connectedness within the team.

Waugh demonstrated a wonderful kind of leadership that is able to take a back seat to someone else who is the right person for the moment. In the highly competitive domain of international sport, such leadership is all the more remarkable. Put the other way, a leadership that is so ego dependent that it must always lead from the front, playing to the cameras as it were, is not likely to create space for others to thrive! To lead from the back, or even the side, demands spiritual strength. It shows respect, integrity and true humility.

## References

- Benefiel, Margaret 2005: *Soul at Work*. New York: Church Publishing,
- Bouma, Gary 2006: *Australian Soul – religion and spirituality in the twenty-first century*. Cambridge University Press
- Boyce, Geoff 2005: *Models of Chaplaincy: Traditional, Professional, Surrogate, Multifaith*, Journal of the Tertiary Campus Ministry Association Vol 2. No 2.
- Brueggemann, Walter 1993: *The Bible and Postmodern Imagination*. London: SCM Press
- Cain, John and Hewitt, John 2004: *Off Course –from public place to marketplace at Melbourne University*. Melbourne: Scribe Publications
- Miller, David W. 2007: *God at Work: The History and Promise of the Faith at Work Movement*. Oxford University Press
- Owen, Harrison 1987: *Spirit – Transformation and Development in Organizations*. Potomac, Maryland: Abbott Publishing
- Pike, Douglas 1967: *Paradise of Dissent: South Australia 1829 -1857*. Melbourne University Press. Second Edition
- Rowe, Keith 2000 : *Living with a Neighbour who is Different*. Melbourne: Uniting Church Press
- Tacey, David 2003: *The Spirituality Revolution – the emergence of contemporary spirituality*. HarperCollins Publishers
- The Sunday Mail, October 10, 1999
- Vaillant, George 2007: *Faith, Hope and Joy*. Morgan Road Books (in press)
- Volf, Miroslav 1996: *Exclusion and Embrace*. Abingdon.